Name: Marcie Frost

Position: Chief Executive Officer

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED INCENTIVE PLAN MEASURES AND WEIGHTS

Plan Weight	Measure	
25%	Organizational Leadership Priorities	
15%	Total Fund Performance	
20%	Enterprise Operational Effectiveness	
10%	Investment Office CEM	
15%	Customer Service	
15%	Stakeholder Engagement	

KEY BUSINESS OBJECTIVES

Organizational Leadership Priorities (25% plan weight)

Through June 30, 2022, provide organizational leadership in support of the following priorities:

- Board Support
- Open and Transparent Communication / Building Relationships
- Efficient and Effective Organization
- Supportive and Engaged Leadership
- Customer Satisfaction Driven Organization
- Team Member Engagement
- Establishment of a new 5-year Strategic Plan

Scoring Information: A single rating will be given for the above Organizational Leadership Priorities measure, based on the following table (intermediate points are interpolated):

Score	Rating
Far Exceeds High Expectations	1.5 (150%)
Meets High Expectations	1.0 (100%)
Does Not Meet High Expectations	0 (0%)

INCENTIVE METRICS

Total Fund Performance (15% plan weight)

The Total Fund Performance Metric is measured based on fund performance against the policy benchmark for the five-year period of July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2022. Payout ratio for intermediate results will be determined by interpolation.

Variance (bps) from Benchmark	Payout Ratio	
+35	1.50 (150%)	
+30	1.41 (141.7%)	
+20	1.25 (125%)	
+5	1.00 (100%)	
0	0.76 (76.3%)	
-15	0.05 (5%)	
< -15	0.00 (0%)	

Enterprise Operational Effectiveness (20% plan weight)

The Enterprise Operational Effectiveness Metric for 2021-22 is defined as Overhead Operating Costs as a percentage of Total Operating Costs ("OOCP").

- Total Overhead Operating Costs ("OOC") identify all administrative costs not mapped directly to Product and Service Delivery Operating Costs ("PSDOC"); excludes Board and Third-Party Administrator Costs
- OOCP = OOC / (OOC + PSDOC)

Score	Payout Ratio	
< -1.1%	1.50 (150%)	
-1.1% to < -0.6%	1.25 (125%)	
-0.6% to 0.0%	1.00 (100%)	
> 0.0% to 1.0%	0.75 (75%)	
> 1.0% to 1.5%	0.50 (50%)	
> 1.5%	0.00 (0%)	

Investment Office CEM (10% plan weight)

The 2021-22 annual participation in the CEM benchmarking survey analyzes CalPERS' investment costs and return performance over a five-year period against a customized peer group.

Score	Payout Ratio
Outperforms US Benchmark on Net Value Added (Returns) and Cost by 0.2% and 5 bps, respectively	1.50 (150%)
Outperforms US Benchmark on Returns and Cost by .001% and 1 bps, respectively	1.00 (100%)
Outperforms US Benchmark on Cost <u>or</u> Outperforms US Benchmark on Returns	0.50 (50%)
Underperforms US Benchmark on Returns and Cost	0.00 (0%)

Customer Service (15% plan weight)

The Customer Service Metric for 2021-22 is based on the following two Service Dimensions:

- Benefit Payment Timeliness: Percentage of benefit payments issued to our customers within established service levels
- Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction with CalPERS services as measured by surveys and other methods

Score	Payout Ratio	
≥ 96%	1.50 (150%)	
95% to < 96%	1.25 (125%)	
94% to < 95%	1.00 (100%)	
93% to < 94%	0.75 (75%)	
92% to < 93%	0.50 (50%)	
< 92%	0.00 (0%)	

Stakeholder Engagement (15% plan weight)

The Stakeholder Engagement Metric for 2021-22 is based on results of the following three Stakeholder Engagement Survey questions:

- Is CalPERS sensitive to the needs of Stakeholders?
- Does CalPERS do a good job of keeping its stakeholders informed?
- On a scale of one to ten, how would you rate CalPERS being effective in engaging and communicating with stakeholders?

Proposed Score	Potential Alternative Score	Payout Ratio
≥ 82%	≥ 83%	1.50 (150%)
81% to < 82%	81% to < 83%	1.25 (125%)
80% to < 81%	80% to < 81%	1.00 (100%)
79% to < 80%	79% to < 80%	0.75 (75%)
78% to < 79%	78% to < 79%	0.50 (50%)
< 78%	< 78%	0.00 (0%)