

# Board of Administration Agenda Item 8a10

#### November 16, 2022

**Item Name**: Proposed Decision – In the Matter of the Application for Industrial Disability Retirement of JOHN A. CANO, Respondent, and PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, Respondent.

Program: Disability and Survivor Benefits Division

Item Type: Action

#### **Parties' Positions**

Staff argues that the Board of Administration should adopt the Proposed Decision.

Respondent John A. Cano's (Respondent Cano) position is included in Attachment C, if any.

#### **Strategic Plan**

This item is not a specific product of either the Strategic or Annual Plans. The determination of administrative appeals is a power reserved to the Board of Administration.

#### **Procedural Summary**

Respondent Cano submitted an application for industrial disability retirement based on his orthopedic (left and right arm) conditions. CalPERS denied the application. Respondent Cano appealed this determination and the matter was heard by the Office of Administrative Hearings on September 7, 2022. Due to Respondent Pleasant Valley State Prison, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's (Respondent CDCR) failure to appear, the case proceeded as a default under Government Code section 11520 as to Respondent CDCR only. A Proposed Decision was issued on September 29, 2022, affirming CalPERS' determination and denying the appeal.

#### Alternatives

A. For use if the Board decides to adopt the Proposed Decision as its own Decision:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System hereby adopts as its own Decision the Proposed Decision dated September 29, 2022, concerning the appeal of John A. Cano; RESOLVED FURTHER that this Board Decision shall be effective 30 days following mailing of the Decision.

B. For use if the Board decides not to adopt the Proposed Decision, and to decide the case upon the record:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 29, 2022, concerning the appeal of John A. Cano, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and determines to decide the matter itself, based upon the record produced before the Administrative Law Judge and such additional evidence and arguments that are presented by the parties and accepted by the Board; RESOLVED FURTHER that the Board's Decision shall be made after notice is given to all parties.

C. For use if the Board decides to remand the matter back to the Office of Administrative Hearings for the taking of further evidence:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, after consideration of the Proposed Decision dated September 29, 2022, concerning the appeal of John A. Cano, hereby rejects the Proposed Decision and refers the matter back to the Administrative Law Judge for the taking of additional evidence as specified by the Board at its meeting.

- D. Precedential Nature of Decision (two alternatives; either may be used):
  - 1. For use if the Board wants further argument on the issue of whether to designate its Decision as precedential:

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System requests the parties in the matter concerning the appeal of John A. Cano, as well as interested parties, to submit written argument regarding whether the Board's Decision in this matter should be designated as precedential, and that the Board will consider the issue whether to designate its Decision as precedential at a time to be determined.

2. For use if the Board decides to designate its Decision as precedential, without further argument from the parties.

RESOLVED, that the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System, hereby designates as precedential its Decision concerning the appeal of John A. Cano.

## Budget and Fiscal Impacts: Not applicable

### Attachments

- Attachment A: Proposed Decision
- Attachment B: Staff's Argument
- Attachment C: Respondent(s) Argument(s)

Anthony Suine Deputy Executive Officer Customer Services and Support