MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OPEN SESSION

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

FECKNER AUDITORIUM

LINCOLN PLAZA NORTH

400 P STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2025 9:00 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS:

Theresa Taylor, President

David Miller, Vice President

Malia Cohen, also represented by Deborah Gallegos

Michael Detoy

Fiona Ma, represented Patrick Henning

Eraina Ortega, also represented by Nicole Griffith

Jose Luis Pacheco

Kevin Palkki

Ramón Rubalcava

Yvonne Walker

Mullissa Willette

STAFF:

Marcie Frost, Chief Executive Officer

Michael Cohen, Chief Operating Investment Officer

Stephen Gilmore, Chief Investment Officer

Douglas Hoffner, Chief Operating Officer

Matt Jacobs, General Counsel

Stephenson Loveson, Chief Information Officer

Kim Malm, Deputy Executive Officer, Customer Services & Support

Donald Moulds, PhD, Chief Health Director

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

STAFF:

Michele Nix, Chief Financial Officer

Brad Pacheco, Deputy Executive Officer, Communications & Stakeholder Relations

Scott Terando, Chief Actuary

Michelle Tucker, Chief, Human Resources Division

Danny Brown, Chief, Legislative Affairs Division

ALSO PRESENT:

Richard Egan

Sara Granda

J.J. Jelincic

L.R. Roberts, Retired Public Employees Association

INDEX PAGE 1. Call to Order and Roll Call 1 2. Pledge of Allegiance - Theresa Taylor 2 2 3. Board President's Report - Theresa Taylor Chief Executive Officer's Report (Verbal) -4 Marcie Frost 5. Action Consent Items - Theresa Taylor 10 Approval of the November 20, 2024, and January 13, 2025, Board of Administration Meeting Minutes b. Board Travel Approvals Approval of the February 19, 2025, Board of C. Administration Timed Agenda Information Consent Items - Theresa Taylor 11 Board Meeting Calendar а. Draft Agenda for the March 19, 2025, Board of Administration Meeting General Counsel's Report Communications and Stakeholder Relations 7. Committee Reports and Actions Investment Committee (Verbal) 11 a. Pension & Health Benefits Committee (Verbal) b. Finance & Administration Committee (Verbal) 12 Performance, Compensation & Talent Management Committee (Verbal) 15 16 Risk & Audit Committee (Verbal) f. Board Governance Committee (Verbal) 8. Action Agenda Items Proposed Decisions of Administrative Law 18 Judges - Theresa Taylor 1. Natalie Cunningham 2. Matthew J. Hoch Sandra Y. DeGolyer 3. Katherine L. Evelyn 5. Jose S. Carrera b. State Legislative Proposal: Technical and

Employees' Retirement Law - Danny Brown

19

Clarifying Amendments to the Public

INDEX CONTINUED PAGE 9. Information Agenda Items State and Federal Legislative Update - Danny a. Brown 24 Summary of Board Direction - Marcie Frost Public Comment 29 b. 29 C. 10. Adjournment of Meeting 43 Reporter's Certificate 44

PROCEEDINGS

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Good morning, everybody and welcome to the Board of Administration meeting. And I am going to ask for the roll call to bring us into session.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Theresa Taylor.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: David Miller.

VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Malia Cohen?

Michael Detoy.

BOARD MEMBER DETOY: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Patrick Henning for Fiona

13 Ma.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING BOARD MEMBER HENNING: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Eraina Ortega.

BOARD MEMBER ORTEGA: Here.

17 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Jose Luis Pacheco.

18 BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Present.

19 BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Kevin Palkki.

BOARD MEMBER PALKKI: Good morning.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Ramón Rubalcava.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Yvonne Walker.

BOARD MEMBER WALKER: Here.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Mullissa Willette.

1 BOARD MEMBER WILLETTE: Here.

1.3

2.2

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: Dr. Gail Willis?

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Is she not on today?

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: (Shakes head).

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. So I don't have to read the thing.

Okay. So I have asked our new Board member, Mike Detoy to do the -- oh, Malia is here. Let's -- okay. So do the Pledge of Allegiance for us.

(Thereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited in unison.)

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. With that, thank you, Mike. I appreciate it and welcome.

So I want to start off this morning by congratulating my colleagues who have been elected to serve as Chair and Vice Chair of our committees that met yesterday, and we look forward to work with you throughout the year.

So as many of you know, February is Black History
Month, a national observance to celebrate both the
influence and perseverance of the Black community in
America. Each year the Association for the Study of
African American Life and History selects the theme for
Black History Month. This year's theme is African
American Labor, which focuses on the impact that African

American labor has had throughout U.S. history. The National Education Association has written an article called, Five Black Leaders That Shaped the Labor Movement. I encourage you to read it as it serves as an introduction to learn more about the intersection of labor, racial justice, and the historic accomplishments of Black Americans. While some have erased Black History Month and other observances from their calendars, we proudly celebrate it here at CalPERS.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And let me conclude with a moment of personal privilege. We were recently informed about the passing of Tim Behrens the former President of the California State Retirees. Tim was a constant figure at our Board meetings and stakeholder briefings, proudly representing the retiree community. He spent three decades advocating for State employees and retirees through his work with CSEA, the Association of State -- California State Supervisors, and later California State Retirees. He often made public comments sharing his insights and thoughts on how we could strengthen and improve our delivery of retirement had health care benefits. We appreciated his support and collaboration, and especially his humor and candidness. He was a kind and caring person and will be deeply missed in our CalPERS extended family. On the behalf of the Board, I would offer our condolences and wish his family

the best.

1.3

2.2

So now, I'm going to turn my report back to Marcie to do her report.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: Good morning,
President Taylor and Board members. Let me also begin by
congratulating Mike Detoy who I had an opportunity to meet
at our educational forum last fall. He attended the
elected officials track, so I know a couple of our Board
members were in that session as well, and had a moment to
introduce themselves. So welcome to the Sacramento
Headquarters. I know the team is looking forward to
onboarding you more. I know you feel maybe a little bit
like you're getting a lot all at the same time with
joining us yesterday via Zoom, but we're here to help you.
So anything you need, just don't hesitate to reach out.

I also want to thank Lisa Middleton who served with distinction for over six years on this Board. Our team and our members are really grateful for her service. She has this professionalism about her. She kept a really nice balance between representing, you know, public employers but also understanding that this Board and this entity is here for serving our members. And she kept that always in balance and we really appreciated her service and her thoughts.

So I'd also like to talk about an announcement of

an upcoming recruitment campaign. This is really designed to complement the CalPERS team in Sacramento, but frankly this could be used to complement the team in our regional locations around the state as well. So we have put together a multi-media effort that will reach potential applicants across the State of California, not just in the Sacramento area. This campaign will be begin next week and it will run through the spring, then again in the fall of this year. You can see some examples of these images on the screen here in the auditorium. This is one of the billboards. And most of the individuals who you will see in this campaign are team members already working at CalPERS who feel a very strong sense of belonging, a pride in working in this organization, and want to be a part of recruiting others to share the experiences that they have while working here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The campaign does focus on the inspiration we receive as team members by serving those who serve California, and again features many of our own CalPERS team members for. Fritzie Archuleta from our Actuarial office will be prominently a part of this campaign. And I will send you an email with all of the various links, including video links. I won't send you the outtakes, which were the best part. But the team had a lot of fun putting this together, and I will keep you updated on our

progress as we look at filling out the candidate pools and filling a number of vacancies around the organization.

2.2

One of our core values that is really directed not just within the organization but also outside of the organization is around transparency. So I want to highlight some recent developments in our work to not only promote transparency, but to require it from the partners that we work with. Transparency is a key component of ensuring that we can provide long-term investment returns. And this is especially true when it comes to whether our team can assess climate impacts of companies where we are invested.

And we are concerned that our federal partners maybe reversing course. Last week, the Acting Chair of the Securities and Exchange Commission signaled the agency would pause from defending its important climate disclosure rule in court. This is a rule that CalPERS has long supported not just at the federal level, but certainly here in the state of California. It is a policy that simply seeks to ensure investors have clear and consistent data related to a company's material climate related risks. It is not about setting targets for Scope 3 emissions - I think we've been really clear about that - but the transparency and the data, and allowing CalPERS to be able to make the determination of whether we are

getting the return for the risks that we're taking by tying up or capital for the long term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We say it often, but, you know, it's important, I think, to say it again. We are a long-term investor. Protecting our members' pension investment means we must good at assessing risk. And we've said it all along that climate risk is a financial risk. These risks didn't just appear with the results of last November's elections and we don't think the efforts to understand those risks should disappear either. We will work hard to make our case to the new leaders of the SEC and we welcome any opportunity where we can seek common ground, but we do continue to believe and support transparency as a fundamental investor right. And I will have a number of meetings as new heads of departments come into Washington, D.C. I'm pleased to meet with whoever would like an audience with us, but there are a couple that we will be targeting to set up some meetings, just to explain the way that CalPERS invests from a fiduciary standpoint, regardless of what they might hear about how we invest.

We are also proud supporters of enhanced transparency regarding our investments in private markets. Last month, a prominent organization representing limited partners in private equity, proposed new guidelines to standardize the size and scope of investor information.

CalPERS is a leader in that organization and strongly supports the effort. Consistent information again in climate or otherwise, in private equity as well, is key to making sure we can compare investment opportunities to ensure again that our members have full access to the benefit that they've earned over their careers.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And as we discussed last month, our private equity team has had great success in meeting and exceeding its objectives over the shorter term. And one way to keep that momentum going is to urge the industry to go further in adopting consistent standards for the information shared with investors.

And then finally, it's important to practice what Transparency isn't just good for our we preach. It's not just good for the partners we do investing. business with. It's also good for our operational areas. The results of the last independent survey that reviews our internal and external practices found higher scores than last year. This score compiled by the CEM Benchmarking Institute out of Toronto, Canada found CalPERS ranked third globally for the transparency of our public disclosures. Although, we ranked third globally, we did rank number one for U.S. public pension plans that are in the CEM survey. And there are a number of the bigs -- larger statewide plans in that survey.

So we do have some room to improve in some categories. And again, that's the importance of attending the meetings. It's important sharing our data and getting feedback from others who have a consistent way of measuring and looking at transparency. And so, we'll continue to work on those items, not about improving our score, but about improving the transparencies out stakeholders have asked us to continue working on.

So with that, President Taylor, that does conclude my report, but I am happy to take any questions.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. I don't see any questions, but Ms. Willette asked for a point of personal privilege. So go ahead.

Okay.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you. Thank you for the report. Again, no questions. I just wanted to shout-out that I had the opportunity to visit the Sacramento Regional Office since our last meeting and Tekara walked me around for quite some time. I got to meet some of the staff out there. It's the biggest office of the Calpers regional offices and the busiest. Man, did I think the other offices were busy, but it was really great. Every window was full with a waiting room all full. Great to see our plan participants, retirees there participating in actively in their retirement and their

benefits. And I really just wanted to shout-out to Tekara and that team in the Sacramento regional office for showing me such a great time and helping me better understand the front-line work that CalPERS does.

1.3

2.2

and I get around, because I also got a chance to visit the Fresno regional office and Patty and the team out there, a smaller but mightier team, representing the central valley, where we had members again super busy. We had members from literally hundreds of miles around coming to ask questions, getting that hands-on experience. So I encourage all the time our participants and beneficiaries to participate in the virtual classes, to participate in the virtual interviews and appointments, but there's nothing better than being able to show up, get your questions answered, get that hands-on, have somebody tell you that you're going to be able to retire, and get your paperwork and questions answered.

So I just really want to shout-out to the Fresno regional office and the Sacramento regional office for allowing me to come visit and giving me a tour of their offices and their facilities. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Thank you, Ms. Willette.

And with that, we will move on to the next agenda item, which is action consent items. Pleasure of the

VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Move approval.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Moved by Mr. Miller.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Second.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Seconded by Jose Luis Pacheco. With that, I can have a verbal vote. So all those in favor say aye?

(Ayes.)

Board, please.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those opposed?
And any abstentions?

All right. Action consent items passed.

Moving on to item -- agenda item number 6, information consent items. I have not received any requests to remove anything for a report.

So then we are going to move on to Committee reports. And our first Committee report will be the Investment Committee. And with -- David, I need you to push your button. There you go. With that, I will call on Mr. Miller.

VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Okay. Good morning, everybody.

The Investment Committee met on February 18th, 2025. The Committee received a report on the following topic: the asset liability management key risk trade-offs and risk appetite.

The Committee heard public comment on the following topic: total portfolio approach, private equity, and labor issues.

2.2

At this time, I would like to share some highlights of what to expect at the March Investment Committee meeting: policy changes for the Responsible Contractor Policy and this will be the third reading; the CalPERS trust level review; asset liability management; proxy voting and corporate engagements update; modernizing investment data and technology update; and, the total fund portfolio management investment treasury overview.

The next meeting of the Investment Committee is schedule for March 17th, 2025 in Sacramento, California.

That concludes my report, Madam President.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Miller. With that I will call on the Chair of the Finance and Administration Committee, Mr. Palkki.

BOARD MEMBER PALKKI: Thank you. Good morning.

The Finance and Administration Committee met on February

18th, 2025. The Committee held an election for the

Finance and Administration Committee Chair and Vice Chair.

Kevin Palkki was elected Chair and Mullissa Willette was elected Vice Chair. The Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the following:

Matt, do -- can I do this all as one group or do

I have to do it separately.

2.2

GENERAL COUNSEL JACOBS: One.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: You can do it in one, yeah.

BOARD MEMBER PALKKI: Okay. Thank you.

The following: Agenda item 4c, approve the June 30th, 2024 Judges' Retirement System actuarial valuation report and the corresponding transmittal letter to the Governor and Legislature. Encourage and Legislature to adopt an employer contribution schedule that includes advanced funding of the Judges' Retirement System, JRS;

Agenda Item 4d, approve the Judges' Retirement
System II actuarial valuation report as of June 30th, 2024
and the corresponding transmittal letter to the Governor
and Legislature. Adopt the employer contribution rate of
22.62 percent and a member contribution rate of 16.75
percent of salary for members subject to the Public
Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013, PEPRA for the
period of July 1st, 2025 through June 30th, 2026 for the
Judges' Retirement System II;

Agenda Item 4e, approve the June 30th, 2024
Legislators' Retirement System actuarial valuation report
and the corresponding transmittal letter to the Governor
and Legislature. Adopt the employer -- the contribution
amount of 493,219 for the period of July 1, 2025 through
July 30th, '26 for the Legislators' Retirement System;

Agenda Item 6a, approve the initiation of the 2025 member-at-large election for the CalPERS Board of Administration by adopting the notice of election.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. On motion by Committee. Is there any discussion?

Hearing no discussion, all those in favor of the recommended motion?

(Ayes.)

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Abstentions?

2.2

Agenda items passed. Go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER PALKKI: The Committee heard public comment on the following topics: 2025 CalPERS Board of Administration member-at-large notice of election.

At this time, I would like to share some highlights of what to expect at the April Finance and Administration meeting: Semi-annual contracting prospective report; valuation report for the 1959 Survivor Benefit Program; semi-annual health plan financial report; pension contracts management program report; Investment Data and Technology Modernization Initiative; 2025 through '26 annual budget proposal; annual review of Board member employer reimbursements; State valuation and employer/employee contribution rates; school valuation and employer/employee contribution rates; Long-Term Care

valuation report.

1.3

2.2

The next meeting for the Finance and Administration Committee is scheduled for April 14th, 2025 in Sacramento, California.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you for your report. With that, I'm calling on the Chair of the PCTM, Performance, Compensation Management Committee[SIC] to read the report. Hold on. That did not work. I hit the wrong thing. There we go.

BOARD MEMBER WILLETTE: Thank you. The Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee met on February 18th, 2025.

The Committee held an election of the

Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee

Chair and Vice Chair. I, Mullissa Willette, was elected

Chair and Controller, Malia Cohen, was elected Vice Chair.

The committee received a report on the executive succession planning update.

And some highlights of what to expect at the April 2025 Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee meeting are: the Committee will receive reports on fiscal year 2025-26 incentive metrics for executive and investment management positions and market compensation survey data; and, the Committee will receive an education session around incentives and the total portfolio

approach.

1.3

2.2

The next meeting of the Performance, Compensation and Talent Management Committee is scheduled for April 14th, 2025 in Sacramento, California.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you for your report,

Mrs. Willette.

With that, I'm calling on the Chair of the Risk and Audit Committee. Ms. Cohen, I need you to push your button, please. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Good morning, everyone. The Risk and Audit Committee met on February 18th, 2025. And in this meeting, I was elected Chair and David Miller was elected Vice Chair. The Committee recommends and I move the Board approve the following:

Agenda Item 6a, Office of Audit Services Charter revision. Approve proposed revisions to the Office of Audit Services Charter;

Second, Agenda Item 6b, third-party parallel valuation and certification services for June 30th, 2024, '25, and '26 actuarial report, request for proposal.

Approve the release of an RFP seeking an external firm to provide parallel actuarial valuations and certification services to the Board of Administration on a quadrennial schedule.

The Committee received reports on the following topics: an update on the 2024-25 Enterprise Compliance and Risk --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Ms. Cohen. You've got to stop. We have to take the motions separately.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Okay.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Could you read the last of the last part of Agenda Item 6b.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Approve the draft services to be provided, minimum qualifications, proposal evaluation process, and proposal evaluation sheet.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Thank you.

On motion by Committee. Is there any discussion?

All those in favor

15 (Ayes.)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Any abstentions?

With that, Agenda Eye Item 6a and 6b pass.

Go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER COHEN: Well, then the Committee received reports on several different topics. The first one was an update on the 2024-25 Enterprise Compliance and Risk Management Mid-Year Plan. The second was the 2025-27 Office of Audit Services Strategic Plan, and also want to note that there was no public comment.

At this time, I'd like to share some highlights 1 of what to expect at the June Risk and Audit Committee 2 meeting: the 2025 Enterprise Compliance and Risk 3 Management Plan; the 2025-26 Office of Audit Services 4 Plan; and then also the independent auditor's annual plan. 5 The next meeting for this Committee, the Risk and 6 Audit Committee, is scheduled for June 25th, 2025 here in 7 8 Sacramento. Thank you. 9 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Ms. Cohen. 10 11 appreciate it. With that, I will call on our Vice President, Mr. 12 Miller, to go over our proposed decisions of 13 administrative law judges. There you go. 14 VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: 15 Thank you, Madam 16 President. I move to adopt the proposed decisions at Agenda items 8a1 through 8a5 with the minor modifications 17 argued by staff to Agenda items 8a1, and 8A2. 18 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Do I have a 19 20 second? BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: I'll second. 21 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. 2.2 23 On the motion, do we have any discussion on that? All right. All those in favor? 24

25

(Ayes.)

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Any opposed? 1 Or abstentions? 2 All right, motion passes. 3 Is that it? 4 All right with that -- huh? What are you talking 5 Oh, yeah, yeah. I have it in front of me. 6 about. 7 With that, we are -- oh, and make sure that's the 8 last thing. Yeah. We are on Agenda Item 9. And with that, I'd love to talk to 9a, State and federal 9 legislative update, Danny Brown. 10 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Good 11 morning, Madam President and Board members. And actually 12 we're on -- we need to do 8b. 1.3 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Oh, yeah. Danny, go ahead. 14 15 I'm sorry. 16 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Danny Brown --17 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: That's my bad. 18 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: 19 Danny Brown, CalPERS team member. Agenda Item 8b 20 is an action item for the Board to sponsor legislation to 21 make technical and clarifying changes to the Public 2.2 23 Employees' Requirement Law. Specifically, this proposal will clarify several final compensation provisions in the 24 25 Public Employees' Retirement Law that apply to both

classic and PEPRA members. Although, these provisions supply to both classic and PEPRA members, they only use the term "compensation earnable", which is a classic term. So to avoid confusion, we want to add the term "pensionable compensation" to those provisions.

1.3

2.2

And with that, I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Does anybody have any questions. Danny, so that's pretty much all we're amending is that little part right there to make sure?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yes.

There's four separate provisions dealing with final compensation that we want to add the term pensionable comp to.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I got it, because otherwise, it could be --

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yeah

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: -- interpreted differently.

I actually have a whole bunch of people now.

Mr. Rubalcava, go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you. I'm a little rusty on my PEPRA, since I

retired a couple years, but does this also -- our proposal

does that extend also to '37 Act counties or is it only

for Calpers?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: It is only for people that are retiring from CalPERS. In fact, '37 Act counties made this change a couple years ago to their provisions. That kind of gave us the idea to make sure that where --

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Got it.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN:

-- where it's clear that if a person is a classic member, we're going to use, you know, compensation earnable, and if they're a PEPRA member, we're going to calculate their final comp using the PEPRA rules.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER RUBALCAVA: Okay. Thank you very much. That clarifies a lot.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Pacheco.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

Brown. I just want to ask you, so the pensionable

compensation is that -- is that -- is that a relatively

new -- a new definition or I'm just trying to understand?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: No. is

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: No, it was a term defined by PEPRA. So it's in the PEPRA provisions, which is not -- it's actually a separate piece of law that applies to all pension systems. So it was

never actually added in these section into our laws, so we just want to make sure that we -- when we -- there's lot of provisions that we use in our law still that apply to PEPRA members.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Um-hmm.

2.2

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: The PEPRA law did not -- you know, wasn't all-encompassing when it was put into --

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: So we're applying this definition to the classic.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: No, only to PEPRA members.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Only to PEPRA members in our -- in our laws -- in our PEPRA laws.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Right. Right. When someone who is a PEPRA Member retires from Calpers, we want to make sure it's clear that we're using the PEPRA term for years -- pensionable compensation when they retire to calculate their benefit.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: So moving forward then that will be the new definition then?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yeah. Well, we've always been doing it, but it -- the law -- we were -- we were applying it that way.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Um-hmm.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: But IF 1 someone looked at the actual statute we were using, they 2 might question why are you -- why are you using 3 pensionable compensation. It's not in that provision. 4 BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Oh, I see. 5 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: 6 that makes sense? 7 8 BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Yeah, that makes sense 9 I understand. Thank you very much for clarifying now. that nuance in the -- in the law. 10 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. I see no more 11 12 questions from the Board, so, Danny, we can move on to your legislative update. 13 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: 14 Wе need to take a vote. 15 16 VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: We need a vote. BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: I'll move it. 17 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Oh, this is an actual vote. 18 LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Yes. 19 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: 20 Sorry. BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: I will move. 21 VICE PRESIDENT MILLER: Second. 2.2 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. So it's moved by Mr. 23

Pacheco, seconded by Mr. Miller.

All those in favor?

24

25

1 (Ayes.)

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Hold on one second.

Okay. So I'm going to take the vote all over again. So oh all those in favor?

(Ayes.)

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All those opposed?

Okay. Hearing no opposition.

Any abstentions?

ACTING BOARD MEMBER HENNING: I'm going to

10 abstain.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. I have an abstention from Patrick. With that, the Committee -- the agenda item passes.

And then now we can move on.

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Thank you. Danny Brown, CalPERS team member again, State and federal legislative update.

There's not much to update on the State side.

The new bill introduction deadline is this Friday.

There's been about 1,200 bills introduced so far. We

expect there could be another thousand bills introduced in

22 the next three days. The team is busy reviewing and

23 | identifying the bills that might impact CalPERS. So for,

24 | we've identified a handful of health bills that we're

25 taking a closer look at and we're expecting some pension

bills to be introduced by the end of the week. So hopefully, next month there will be some actual bills to discuss with you. But right now, we're just kind of in a waiting game.

1.3

2.2

And so now moving to the federal update. As most of you probably know by now, on January 5th President Biden signed the Social Security Fairness Act into law, which repealed the Windfall Elimination Provision and Government Pension Offset. The new law is retroactive to benefits paid in 2024, but the Social Security Administration has indicated that it could take more than a year to adjust benefits and pay all the retroactive benefits.

Also, since our last meeting in November, we have submitted five comment letters. In November, CalPERS and Covered California submitted a joint response to request for public comment voicing support on draft recommendations on Women's Preventive Services guidelines as proposed by Women's Preventive Services Initiative. In December, we submitted two letters. The first one was a response to a request for information expressing support on a Medicare \$2 drug list model developed by the Centers of Medicare and Medicaid Innovation. The second was another joint response with Covered California to CMS on a request for comment on enhancing coverage of preventive

services under the Affordable Care Act. We commented in support of this proposal, requiring group health plans to offer over-the-counter contraception without prescription and without cost sharing.

2.2

Finally, in January, we submitted two more

letters. The first letter was submitted in support of

OSHA's proposed rule on heat injury and illness prevention
in outdoor and indoor work settings. The second letter

was submitted in response to CMS's request for comment on
annual updates to Medicare Advantage and Part D program.

We commented in support of the proposals to increase
access to generic and biosimilar prescription drugs,
expand the annual health equity analysis reporting,
implement guardrails for artificial intelligence, and
align cost sharing for MA services to that of traditional
Medicare. All these letters can be found on our external
website.

And then in this closing, I'll just say there has been a lot of activity in D.C. that the team is monitoring closely in coordination with our federal representatives, and we will engage when warranted to educate and shape policy consistent with our long-standing policy, priorities, and values.

And with that, that ends my report and happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

1.3

2.2

So all of these letters, except for one, were submitted under the Biden administration. The one in January was obviously under the Trump administration. What is your thoughts on any of the letters having any impact now that the Trump administration is in?

are very good questions. I think on -- I think it's already been said that on the OSHA letter, the heat and injury prevention, that that's kind of on hold and they're going to rework that letter. I think the other ones are, you know, kind of wait and see. These are kind of annual type of things that the CMS does. A lot of the letters went to CMS. And so I'm imagine some of the CMS work will continue, but whether or not, you know, they'll -- our comments will have any weight, we'll have to weight and see.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Pacheco, go ahead. He has a question.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Yes. Thank you, President Taylor. Thank you, Mr. Brown. I just want to ask you a question. You mentioned that this Friday is the due date for the bills in the State -- in the State. You said about 1,200 bills are in the -- on -- in the pipeline.

Now, just some clarifying questions on process. They go

to the -- do they go to the Rules Committee? Is that usually the process to be assigned to the committees after they're brought in?

1.3

2.2

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN:

Correct. Yes, once they get introduced, they go to the Rules Committee. The Rules Committee will send them to the Committee of jurisdiction.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: Jurisdiction. And how long -- what's the -- what is the timeline usually with respect to that?

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: The Rules Committee assigns them fairly quickly. And then they have to be in print for 30 days before they can be heard in a Committee.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: So in print and then so forth. And that -- and that would be -- and then at that point, people -- the public will have access to that information by going to --

LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF BROWN: Oh, the -- yes, all the bills the public has access. Once they get introduced, they are public. They're on their legislative website, so you can look up all of the bills on the legislative website.

BOARD MEMBER PACHECO: And that's all my questions. Thank you, Ms. Taylor.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pacheco. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

With that, we are going to move onto 9b, which is the summary of Board direction.

I didn't think so.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: (Shakes head).

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: No Board direction.

9c, we do have public comment. So 9c, I'm going to call up Sara Granda, J.J. Jelincic and L.R. Roberts.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER FROST: The first public commenter will be via phone. All right.

Sara.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Do we have somebody on the phone?

Not yet.

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: No.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. So Sara. Keep an eye if she gets on the phone and let me know.

J.J. and L.R. Roberts. J.J. seems to be here first.

J.J. JELINCIC: J.J. Jelincic, RPEA.

In August, this Board sponsored the Pathways for Women's Conference. From everything I've heard, it was a success. It achieved its goals, which were to hear from professional pioneers, get actual insights, join a leadership community and build your network, level up your

skills to help carve your own professional path.

2.2

Did it increase the risk-adjusted returns? No.

Did it reduce pension administration costs? No. Did it increase access to health care? No. Did it reduce medical costs, which is what beneficiaries want? No. Did it increase medical costs, which is what the Board wants?

Since the conference did not serve the purposes of the trust fund, in September, on behalf of the Retired Public Employees Association, I asked you, as trustees, if you would reimburse the fund for the inappropriate expenditures. David Miller shook his head. President Taylor asked if it was a question. When told it was, she said quote, "We will have to get back to you," unquote. In November, I repeated the question because I have not gotten an answer. It's five months after I originally asked. To date, only David Miller has asked the quest -- answered the question. I acknowledged that Michael Detoy was not part of the decision.

So again, as trustees, I ask, on behalf of RPEA, are you going to reimburse the trust fund for the inappropriate expenditures?

Thank you.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So J.J., I normally would not respond. This is the third time you've been up here. No

we're not going to do that. And I think that you're well aware that women in finance do help our returns, so let's be clear about that. And other than that --

J.J. JELINCIC: And that was not the purpose of the conference. I read you the purpose of the conference. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Fine, but you got your answer.

J.J. JELINCIC: I appreciate it. It only took
give months.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Ms. Robert.

2.2

L.R. ROBERTS: Hi. I'm L.R. Roberts. I'm a retired civil servant. I worked at STRS. I'm now in RPEA and CSR and I'm going to talk about dental and vision being covered under Medicare Advantage plans.

The first civil service contract was in 1983. We negotiated health and pay, and working conditions. And prior to that, the State provided dental/vision through -- and it was administered in those days by DPA, and later now by HR. My husband died January 15th, 2024 who was also a PERS member. And widows go through the nightmare of filling out benefit forms after their loved one dies.

PERS was the first to pay me and Delta Dental gave PERS permission to do the Delta Dental paperwork, which worked out great. But, VSP does not allow the PERS staff people to do that. And it has been a total

nightmare. It only got fixed a week ago, a year and a month after my husband died.

So there is a JAMA article, which our very talented staff person printed for me, because I couldn't get it to print, that talks about it. So it is dated 2024. And it's December 26th, 2024 from JAMA, you know, the Journal of American — the American Medical Association. So this thing where we've got our health care in one place and our pension in one place, and then or dental and vision in another place, we could have it — so already, if you go to UC Davis, they already have a dental — or they used to — at least used to have a dental place right there at the Medical Center near my house.

If you're at Kaiser, for instance, at the one on Cal Expo or the one down on Bruceville, they have a vision place already there that does glasses and stuff. So it's something we could look into. What's going on now, this administrative nightmare where it's in two different places, I think we should stop doing. Anyway, it's a very good article. And there's another article at JAMA on the same issue.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you.

With that, is Sara on the phone?

Okay. With that, then hearing no more public 1 comment, we'll adjourn now into the closed session for 2 items 1 through 3 from the closed session agenda. 3 will include the following litigation matters: A, Wedding et al. versus CalPERS, Los Angeles County Superior Court. 5 We will also receive the General Counsel's update on 6 pending litigation. After the closed session, the Board 7 8 will reconvene in open session. So for now, thank you for coming to our Board 9 meeting. We will see you all in March. Thank you very 10 This Committee is recessed. 11 much. (Off record: 9:38 a.m.) 12 (Thereupon the meeting recessed 1.3 into closed session.) 14 15 (Thereupon the meeting reconvened 16 Open session.) (On record: 11:25 a.m.) 17 PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Good morning, everybody. 18 19 welcome back to open session. We do have some public comment, so I'd like to call our public commenters in. 20 And I know they're working on it right now. 21 want to do the one on the phone first? That will work. 2.2 23 Go ahead public commenter on the phone. STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Yes, President 24

Taylor, we have Richard Egan on the line regarding the

25

management of the organization.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you.

Mr. Egan.

1.3

2.2

STAFF SERVICES MANAGER I FORRER: Go ahead, Mr. -- go ahead, Mr. Egan.

RICHARD EGAN: Hi. Are you ready. I know I've only got three minutes.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Yes, we are.

RICHARD EGAN: Okay. Thanks for taking the time. Appreciate you listening to my concerns today.

My name is Richard Egan. And the reason for my call is I really just wanted to get some of this stuff on public record, in case some of the Board members are unaware, and if they are, just so that they can be held accountable.

But I wanted to talk about two main things here. One, the public records laws of the State of California, and secondarily, my retirement application. I was an employee of Lassen County for over 30 years. Decided to retire last year and submitted my retirement application accordingly. The compliance review team, in my opinion, is using me as a pawn in their -- in the leverage to get my employer to comply with some non-substantive silly technicality called a publicly available pay schedule, which I understand Lassen County and probably many other

jurisdictions never have had a technically compliant, publicly available pay schedule, yet, hundreds of people have retired and continue to retire without that. But anyway, they selected me to use as an example to reduce my retirement. And, of course, I'm appealing that decision. We'll see where that goes.

2.2

But in the meantime, I made a public records request because some of the information that I need for that appeal, which is pertinent, like the -- you know, if there are others that have been discriminated like I have or if there's public available pay schedules. So I made a PRA request formally on February 6th. As you all know, there's a 10-day requirement to respond. You don't necessary have to provide the records, but you do have to respond.

And the first response I got from the CalPERS employee was that, no, here's a link to a online system that you can fill out and do that. And if not, we're not going to respond. I politely explained to that employee that, no, that's not how the public records laws work and you're required to accept the public records request in the form that it comes and respond accordingly. I got no response from that employee. That's Amy Alfieri.

Finally, after a few days, got to her supervisor who is Diane Cooper, got essentially the same response.

Then finally got to her supervisor, Brad Hanson, who I think reluctantly acknowledged the facts of the public records law and forwarded it on to somebody. 3 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Interestingly, about 10 minutes ago, while I was waiting on hold after I gave my name and so forth to the caller, I got an email with a response, which is now, of course, three days out of compliance, which is not the end of the world, but I think it's just ridiculous that not only do we have -- have to have a law that mandates an agency to comply with public documentation rather than just freely doing it, but it takes such a difficult process to get compliance, that I think you all should be aware of it, and perhaps train your staff on public records compliance.

Now, the response that I got was essentially not nonresponsive --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Sir, we're way past our three minutes.

> RICHARD EGAN: Yeah.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So can you wrap it up?

RICHARD EGAN: Okay. Well, yes, so anyway, I quess I'll see you next meeting, but I hope you look into some of those management competence issues and get some training for your staff.

> PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Sarah Granda and we will wait

for her to start.

1.3

2.2

SARA GRANDA: Sorry. I'm trying to get set up here.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I think we need to hand up the outside line.

Thank you.

SARA GRANDA: So, hello. Hello. Okay. Got it. All right. I only have like six minutes because I tried to, you know, figure it out. Anyway. So, yeah.

Good morning, members of the CalPERS Board. I'm here today to put phase two Granda v. CalPERS, so me, an active and ongoing federal case, and to present the human cost of this Board's inactions. So we've two pointed questions, right? Number one, much like our current federal administration, why does CalPERS, including this Board, right, feel it is above the law?

Okay. Number two, how many more years must I endure before CalPERS complies with its own obligations. So 27 years ago when I was age 18, I sustained a catastrophic accident leaving me paralyzed and fully ventilator dependent. Okay. CalPERS and my family entered into a private agreement, one which CalPERS committed to providing my ongoing nursing care, right. It was intent negotiation. CalPERS alone drafted this settlement agreement. Yet, despite its own written terms,

right, which require an annual meeting with me to address my health care concerns, CalPERS has only complied with five years of those 27 years, so 22 years, right, left of non-compliance.

2.2

So because CalPERS has refused to meet with me, I was forced to become a licensed attorney myself, right?

So I am a licensed attorney now, and just to compel a meeting with all of us, with CalPERS exec staff in 2010.

So CalPERS, you -- you know, you guys, wield total power and control of my ability to live, my ability to leave my high house, right, participate in society, potentially even work. As a licensed attorney, I did work for -- a former State employee at DHCS, okay? So this is the Department of Health Care Services. And they also provide public benefits to, you know, the public, right, just like CalPERS.

And I am intimately aware of what the law requires, what needs to happen. Yes, despite 10 years of us all going back and forth, CalPERS, looking since 2015, I am -- I'm still fighting for the same care for legal rights. I have, after having been hospitalized multiple times due to inadequate nursing care, that I begged you guys to provide me, so I didn't have to be hospitalized over, and over, and over again, right?

So I -- you know, 2014, this resulted in the

biggest, you know, severe health decline in my life, probably worse than my initial injury, right? So right now, my career is gone, right, and my health has been irreparably damaged, all because CalPERS refuses to follow your own policies, guys. You guys made this agreement.

2.2

So in 2020, I was finally able to meet with CalPERS, but only after paying \$10,000 to get an attorney to spark a mediation to get going on. And then you guys refused to have an actual real solution. So not even a global pandemic, right, that killed millions was enough to push CalPERS to ensure that I had the care I needed to survive.

So left with no other choice, I filed a federal lawsuit, right. But in August 2022, the court like denied your guys' motion for judgment on the pleadings highlighting CalPERS's systemic failures, classifying you guys as a public entity subject to all State and federal health care disability and public benefit laws, yet no action was taken. May 15 of last year, the same court issued a temporary restraining order in my favor, meaning the court determined that my case represents a significant risk to irreparable harm and that my case poses a strong likelihood for success at trial.

Okay. So four days later, when CalPERS did not comply with this TRO, right, I filed a motion to compel.

And the same federal court granted my potion to compel, right, asking for the State TRO compliance, right, but yet, CalPERS has yet to comply. So with no one else to implement this TRO, that responsibility again fell on me, so by fault, right?

2.2

The job of enforcing a court order, which is CalPERS's application, is for me to do now, right. And so because of CalPERS's failure to comply, my job has become three or four times more taxing, okay. So this is like an implementation that I alone am doing to coordinate all 24 hours of my care, right? I'm effectively implementing a TRO that CalPERS should be implementing, but, you know, it's an undue burden -- a huge undue burden placed on me. And while I continue to suffer, you guys, CalPERS, evades that responsibility at the cost of my life.

So CalPERS is paying between \$165 and \$300 an hour for the private duty nursing that I need, right?

Yet, I'm still suffering, right? I can't -- I can't even leave my house, because I can't afford to leave my house, right? I need to leave California, because I can't -- but I can't because, right -- down a little -- I'm hoping to return to Seattle, where there are adequate services for the spinal cord injured population, but I can't afford to move, okay? My credit cards are all maxed out due to years and years of paying for care, any care, I could

find, because you guys weren't going to help me find any of the care, right?

I could barely keep up with my monthly payments and my mortgage. I have little money for food or, you know, daily needs, and even refinanced my home and pulled out \$100,000 to remodel for my care needs, including infection control, right, nurse access, many things, live-in caregivers base, right --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Ms. Granda.

SARA GRANDA: Yeah.

2.2

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: I've let you go over by two minutes, so you've had about eight minutes now.

SARA GRANDA: Okay. I'm going to try.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Can you wind -- yeah, please wind up.

SARA GRANDA: I'm trying to wrap it up.

She has three minutes. I can take your three minutes, Tamara, if you want to make comment.

So, I'm just asking for some kind of compliance and I just don't want to have to die, okay, because I am going to die, if I can't get anything to kind of move, okay? So the consequences to this Board are growing exponentially. You guys have like, you know, some criminal negligence, right? And, you know, I could go through all of these, you know, penal codes, right,

Business and Professions Code, Civil codes, Government codes, California Insurance codes, right, California Code of Civil Procedure, down --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Ms. Granda.

SARA GRANDA: I'm sorry.

1.3

2.2

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Can you submit the rest of it in writing?

SARA GRANDA: I can, but I submit everything in writing to you guys --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay.

SARA GRANDA: -- and the court has ordered you to do anything, but I can get you guys to comply with much. So I'm just trying to like help, you know, be here to like try to do anything, right, because like the final plea -- because I've already begged you guys to not like to stop all of this, so that I can --

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Ms. Granda, I do have to cut you off. You're way over your six minutes. We're like going on nine, ten minutes at this point. So I think we get the gist of it. Is there anything else?

SARA GRANDA: No, I guess I'm just wondering how much longer I have to like hold out until you guys will try to like, you know, help me, and comply with any court order, but...

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: So because there's pending

litigation, the Board cannot comment on any of this, unfortunately.

SARA GRANDA: Yeah. I'm just tired. I just want to live my last big career, you know.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Thank you. I do appreciate your comments.

SARA GRANDA: Sure.

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: We will be adjourning the meeting, so I just wanted to let you guys know.

Is there any more public comment that we should be worried about?

BOARD CLERK ANDERSON: (Shakes head).

PRESIDENT TAYLOR: Okay. With that, I am adjourning this month's Board of Administration meeting. Our next meeting of the Board of Administration is scheduled for March 17th through the 19th in -- on -- in 2025. So, everybody have a good afternoon. Thank you so much. Take care.

(Thereupon, the California Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration meeting open session adjourned at 11:40 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California Public Employees' Retirement System,

Board of Administration open session meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th day of February, 2025.

James & Path

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063